

## Forensic<sup>1</sup> Report

Case number JZ 9421<sup>2</sup> Orlando

- The window on the right-hand side in the backyard<sup>3</sup> of the house was shattered<sup>4</sup> and pieces of glass were identified inside the residence.
- The windowsill<sup>5</sup> was forcefully bent out of place, likely<sup>6</sup> causing the glass to shatter and indicating a high likelihood of unlawful entry<sup>7</sup>.
- Portions of the shattered glass were carefully removed and placed<sup>8</sup> on the ground outside, again adding to the probability that the window was intentionally broken in order to<sup>9</sup> gain ingress to the residence.
- The glass fragments that were left outside of the residence were taken into the mobile CSI unit and meticulously<sup>10</sup> dusted<sup>11</sup> for fingerprints. At least two sets<sup>12</sup> of prints were found, one partial and the other complete enough for a positive identification of one suspect<sup>13</sup> with 98% probability. The analysis of the ridges<sup>14</sup> and whorls of the fingerprint<sup>15</sup> allows for this team to state<sup>16</sup>, with almost complete certainty, that the alleged<sup>17</sup> perpetrator did in fact touch the glass shard with his hand, and so was, on at least one occasion present at the address in question<sup>18</sup>.
- After examining the residence, several other sets of fingerprints

were found<sup>19</sup>, including a partial<sup>20</sup> set representing a 42%<sup>21</sup> match with the fingerprints of the alleged accomplice<sup>22</sup>, Mauricio Diaz. This evidence<sup>23</sup> is not conclusive, but raises the likelihood<sup>24</sup> that Mr. Diaz was present at the alleged break-in<sup>25</sup>.

[226 words]